Interdisciplinary Humour Studies

Synergistic Application

of Metaphorical and Humorous

Elements in Polish and English

Advertising Discourse

Anna Stwora





#### **Anna Stwora**

Assistant Professor in the Institute of Linguistics, University of Silesia in Katowice, Poland. She obtained a double PhD from the University of Silesia and the Sapienza University of Rome, Italy.

Her research interests revolve around multimodal discourse of advertising, especially in its metaphorical and humorous dimensions, as well as around broadly conceived humour studies. She is also interested in specialised registers, cognitivism, communication studies, and contrastive linguistics.

In 2020, she took up a post as editorial assistant at The European Journal of Humour Research and became a member of the board of the Cracow Tertium Society for the Promotion of Language Studies. She acts as the supervisor of NEOlinguists - the PhD candidate association. She has been involved in several projects, including seminars, workshops, and conferences devoted to humour and contrastive studies, as well as to business language and culture.

# Synergistic Application

of Metaphorical and Humorous Elements in Polish and English Advertising Discourse

## Anna Stwora

# Synergistic Application

of Metaphorical and Humorous Elements in Polish and English Advertising Discourse

#### Series Interdisciplinary Humour Research (2)

Series editor Sylwia Klos

Interdisciplinary Humour Research – Advisory Board
Joanna Wilk-Racięska, Prof. dr hab. (Uniwersytet Śląski, Poland) –
cognitive linguistics, cultural linguistics and humour semantics
Delia Carmela Chiaro, Prof. (Università di Bologna, Italy) –
translation studies, literature, sociolinguistics,
intercultural communication and humour studies
Willibald Ruch, Prof. dr (University of Zurich, Switzerland) –
psychology, humour studies
Mara Burkart, PhD (Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina) –
sociology and cultural studies
Thaís Leão Vieira, PhD (Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, Brazil) –
history and humour studies
Luis R. Franzini, PhD (San Diego State University, USA) –
psychology, humour studies

Referee Agnieszka Piskorska

## Contents

Bionote	9			
Acknowledgements	11			
Humour and Metaphor in Advertising—Embarking on a Journey	13			
Chapter 1				
Advertising as Communication—Theoretical Framework	21			
1.1 The Phenomenon of Advertising	2.2			
1.2 Definition, Purpose, and Operation of Advertising				
1.3 Print Advertising				
1.3.1 Newspapers				
1.3.2 Magazines				
1.3.3 Catalogues				
1.3.4 Leaflets				
1.3.5 Direct Mail	34			
1.4 Ads Today—On Multimodal Advertising Discourse	35			
1.4.1 The Verbal				
1.4.2 The Pictorial	44			
1.4.3 The Multimodal	53			
1.5 Summary	59			
Chapter 2				
Relevance Theory in Visual and Multimodal Advertising	61			
2.1 Basic Principles of Relevance Theory	62			
2.2 Relevance Theory and Visual/Multimodal Mass Communication 6				
2.3 Relevance Theory in Advertising—The Issue of Genre Attribution				
2.4 Summary				

Chapter 3 Advertising and the Power of the Figurative	77
3.1 A Few Words on Metaphor	78
<u> </u>	33
<del>_</del>	88
	94
3.5 Verbal, Visual, and Multimodal Metaphor in Advertising	01
3.5.1 Verbal Metaphor in Advertising	
3.5.2 Visual Metaphor in Advertising	
3.5.3 Multimodal Metaphor in Advertising	
3.6 Relevance Theory and Figurative Language	
3.7 Summary	
Chapter 4 Advertising and Language for Humorous Purposes	27
4.1 Defining Humour	
4.2 Types of Humour	
4.2.1 Incongruity-Resolution Theory	
4.2.2 Superiority Theory and Disparaging Humour	
4.2.3 Relief Theory	
4.3 The Linguistic Approach to Humour	
4.3.1 Graded Salience Hypothesis	
4.3.2 Relevance-Theoretic Treatments of Humour	
4.3.3 The Script-Based Semantic Theory of Humour (SSTH) 16	
4.3.4 The General Theory of Verbal Humour (GTVH)	
4.3.5 Some Polemic Points with Regard to the SSTH and GTVH 17	
4.3.6 The Visual and Multimodal Means for Producing Humour 17	79
4.4 Considerations Related to Previous Research on Humour in	
Advertising	
4.5 The Linkage between the Humorous and the Metaphorical 20	
4.6 Summary	11
Chapter 5	
Perspectives on the Study of Humorous Metaphorical Ads	17
5.1 The Scope of Study and Data Collection	17
5.2 Research Objectives	
5.3 Methodology	
5.4 Research Participants	
5.5 Presentation of Results	

5.6 The Qualitative Investigation
5.6.1 The English Corpus—Brief Overview
5.6.2 Sample in English
5.6.3 Selected Case Studies—An Integrated Perspective
5.6.4 The Polish Corpus—Brief Overview
5.6.5 Sample in Polish
5.6.6 Selected Case Studies—An Integrated Perspective
5.7 Ad Ratings and Emotional Responses—Results
5.7.1 Results for the Sample in English
5.7.2 Results for the Sample in Polish
5.8 Ad Comprehension—Results
Chapter 6 Conclusions
6.1 Conclusions Concerning Qualitative Investigation
6.2 Conclusions on Ad Ratings and Emotional Responses
6.3 Discussion on Ad Comprehension
6.4 Closing Remarks
6.5 Limitations and Avenues for Further Research
Appendix 1 – Transcripts of the Advertising Material Used
Appendix 2 – The Likert-type Scale and Visual Self-Report Used 365
Appendix 3 – Questionnaire Form on Ad Comprehension
Appendix 4 – The Corpus in English
Appendix 5 – The Corpus in Polish
Bibliography
Index of Names
Streszczenie
Summary
Juninary

#### **Bionote**

Anna Stwora obtained a double PhD from the Institute of Linguistics, Faculty of Humanities of the University of Silesia in Katowice, Poland, and the Sapienza University of Rome, Italy. Her research interests revolve around multimodal discourse of advertising, especially in its metaphorical and humorous dimensions, as well as around broadly conceived humour studies. She is also interested in specialised registers, cognitivism, communication studies, and contrastive linguistics. In 2020, she took up a post as editorial assistant at The European Journal of Humour Research and became a member of the board of the Cracow Tertium Society for the Promotion of Language Studies. She has been involved in several projects, including seminars, workshops, and conferences devoted to humour and contrastive studies, as well as to business language and culture. Her recent publications include Humor Research Project: Explorations in Humor Studies (2020, coedited with Marcin Kuczok and Mariola Świerkot) and Exploring Business Language and Culture (2020, co-edited with Urszula Michalik, Iwona Sznicer, and Paweł Zakrajewski).

## Acknowledgements

Completing this volume offers a chance to acknowledge the contribution of all those important people whose presence, assistance, and support have helped me to publish this book.

First of all, I would like to take this opportunity to express my thankfulness and appreciation to my family—especially to my partner Grzegorz. I certainly would not have started nor completed this book (and the PhD degree) if it were not for his tremendous support and encouragement. Also, I wish to thank my sister Kasia and my parents who supported me on the path to my degree.

Naturally, I would like to thank my supervisor, Professor Adam Wojtaszek from the Institute of Linguistics of the University of Silesia in Katowice for his guidance and insightful comments on my PhD thesis, which was the basis for this book, as well as for giving me freedom to follow my research interests. This volume benefitted greatly from his insights, direction, and constructive evaluation at every stage of the writing process, for which I am more than grateful.

I would also like to express my gratitude to my co-supervisor, Professor Irene Ranzato from the Sapienza University of Rome for her help and cotutoring as part of the joint doctoral program leading to a double PhD diploma. At this point, I would like to thank the program coordinators too, Professor Paweł Jędrzejko from the Institute of Literary Studies of the University of Silesia in Katowice and Professor Giorgio Mariani from the Sapienza University of Rome. I am very much indebted to them for their invaluable assistance, advice, and willingness to help me participate in the program.

I am also indebted in many ways to Professor Ewa Borkowska from the Institute of Literary Studies of the University of Silesia in Katowice, who noticed my potential and encouraged me to start my academic career. All the inspiring writings I had a chance to read under her supervision during my MA studies largely contributed to my skills and were a valuable intellectual experience, which significantly broadened my horizons.

I would like to express my gratitude to my friends and colleagues from the Department of Specialised Languages and the Department of Contrastive Studies. Thank you all for being an integral part of my time at the University of Silesia! Working with you was and will always be a great pleasure, as you were very friendly, encouraging, and supportive at all times. I would also like to thank the members of *NEOlinguists—the PhD candidate association* who have become my friends and made the PhD studies an adventure worth remembering thanks to their vibrant personalities.

Lastly, I would like to gratefully acknowledge the contribution Doctor David Schauffler made to my thesis by going through the text from a native-English perspective. Thank you!

## Humour and Metaphor in Advertising— Embarking on a Journey

Given the noticeable impact of humour and metaphor on contemporary ads, it comes as no surprise that both are frequently used in advertising discourse. However, it is still a relatively new concept to think of humorousness and metaphoricity as similar in terms of the cognitive experience provided; it turns out that both require a similar shift in cognition, for they "[...] involve a semantic contradiction [or] incompatibility that the recipient has to disambiguate, using his/her linguistic competence and encyclopaedic knowledge to find some analogy or other 'common link' to relate the involved planes of meaning" (Krikmann, 2009, pp. 14–15). The recipients are therefore made to look for additional implications and connotations, as well as to interpret, compare, and transfer meanings appurtenant to various domains, in the case of metaphors, and to different scenarios, in the case of humour. To my mind, combined in advertising, the two phenomena present a unique research challenge when it comes to understanding incongruities and the instances of conceptual blending.

To date, the subject of comparative studies on both figurativeness and humour in ads has received little attention, as the two were usually studied in isolation. In spite of extensive research on metaphorical language in advertising and on what may produce humour in ads (e.g., superiority humour, puns or irony), the issue of incongruity-resolution-based humour and metaphors in press advertising has received rather scant attention.

Hence, faced with such a gap, it seems useful to enquire into the joint operation of both the humorous and the metaphorical in contemporary advertising discourse, with special emphasis placed on their reception by the audience. This book is an attempt at joining three academic fields, namely, advertising discourse, metaphor, and humour research, with multimodality

as an overreaching thread. It will address questions related to cognition, communication, and humour, as instantiated in a selection of ads. The present volume is anchored in cognitive linguistics and communication studies, for one of its major objectives is to study the reflections of general conceptual mechanisms in language and the other to show how advertising messages communicate complex ideas of both metaphorical and humorous nature to the recipients. It should also be specified at this point that the book subscribes to the incongruity-resolution theory of humour which "defines humour as a cognitive-linguistic problem-solving task that elicits positive affect (Goel & Dolan, 2001; Raskin, 1985; Suls, 1972)" (Strick, Holland, Van Baaren, Van Knippenberg, & Dijksterhuis, 2013, pp. 7–8).

Research in cognitive linguistics has shown that there has been an increased interest in the role of metaphors and, in particular, of mental spaces and conceptual blending, in the production of humour (cf. Brône, Feyaerts, & Veale, 2015; Attardo, 2017). Citing Krikmann, "the theory of humour and the cognitivist theory of figurative speech have begun to notice and reach out towards each other" (Krikmann, 2009, p. 34), which, in turn, has led me to have a closer look at the synergistic application of metaphorical and humorous elements in both Polish and English multimodal press ads.

First of all, it should be clarified that multimodality relies on the simultaneous application of different modes of communication. It assumes "the interaction and combination of multiple modes within single artefacts" (Bateman, 2008, p. 1) or, in other words, it presumes the use of several distinct semiotic codes to convey a message, for instance, the textual and the visual mode in the case of press ads, with all the modes incorporated being treated as one meaningful entity (cf. Bateman, 2008). At the same time, multimodality assumes that each semiotic mode is responsible for the completion of specific tasks (Stöckl, 2015) (e.g., the anchoring function of the text (cf. Barthes, 1977) that helps the perceiver to avoid over- or misinterpretation).

Despite different functions they may perform, the modes involved create an inextricable network of interrelations that results in the creation of one semantic entity; this reciprocal contextualisation, as Maćkiewicz (2017) puts it, leads to the emergence of new meanings that were not contained in the aforementioned semiotic codes, which testifies to the synergistic communication effect of multimodality (Maćkiewicz, 2017, p. 40). Apart from the fact that such messages are simply more interesting due to the application of several modes that interact with each other, they are more

pleasurable to the eye than ads dominated by the textual mode alone, for example. What is more, multiple impressions generated by various forms create more chances to impress the perceiver and hence attract his attention.

The power of metaphors in advertising is of great importance as well because they are frequently more attractive and more cognitively engaging than any straightforward claims. Pérez-Sobrino (2017) is of the opinion that, as a discourse genre, advertising is actually symbiotically tied to metaphor in that "metaphor suits the specificities of advertising because both consist in putting into correspondence two discrete domains: in the case of metaphor, the source and the target domain; in advertising, the product or service being advertised and the corresponding positive attributed values" (Pérez-Sobrino, 2017, p. 50). Following this line of reasoning, it can be said that advertising as such constitutes fruitful a domain of study for metaphor scholars. Therefore, not only do metaphorical ads appeal to the audience thanks to their originality, that is, owing to a game of meanings played by the advertiser and the audience (cf. Bralczyk, 2000), but they also constitute a pool of novel figurative constructions to be studied. The usage of the figurative in advertising, in turn, aptly illustrates how the preexisting knowledge structures in human minds in the form of cognitive schemas, frames, and scripts help people to identify relevant facts without the need "to sift through the blizzard of information" (Cialdini, 2007, p. 60) each and every time they want to communicate something or decode the message they receive.

When it comes to humour in advertising, it is employed to entertain the audience and, therefore, to dispose the prospects favourably towards the goods advertised. Thanks to the note of levity introduced, the message starts to stand out from the information clutter and creates a more relaxed cognitive environment for the perceiver, thus oftentimes conducing to increased liking for the ad, product or brand (Gulas & Weinberger, 2006). Apart from contributing to a pleasant cognitive environment, humour in advertising makes ads more appealing because, just like metaphors, humour usually provides the audience with involving content, that is, with a puzzle to solve. Nevertheless, resorting to humour is relatively risky a strategy in advertising, for whether a humorous ad succeeds or fails depends on many variables to be discussed in the ensuing chapters.

What follows from this short introduction is that both metaphoricity and humorousness are issues of significance when it comes to constructing memorable and influential ads, since they are said to facilitate ad appreciation and increase the prospects' attention. Humorous metaphorical

ads may therefore pose a greater cognitive challenge to the audience, as they are characterised by considerable conceptual complexity resulting from the simultaneous application of the two phenomena. Based on the aforementioned contention that there are some marked similarities in perception of humour and metaphor, the following discussion will centre on the synergistic interaction of metaphorical and humorous elements in multimodal ads.

For this research, I first gathered two corpora that consisted of multimodal humorous metaphorical ads in English (100 press ads) and Polish (100 press ads); the selection for the two language corpora was made according to the following criteria: (i) the ads were multimodal in their nature, that is, they combined visual and verbal information alike; (ii) they contained a metaphor (verbal, visual or multimodal); and (iii) they made use of incongruity-resolution-based humour. The two language corpora were subsequently divided into several subgroups according to the "butt of the joke," which made it possible to secure roughly equal representation of different humour targets identified in the selected ads in each language group studied (see Chapter 5 for a more detailed account of the methodology used). A proportional number of advertisements was then chosen from these sub-sets and, as a result, the final sample to be analysed and included in the questionnaires comprised 20 ads for each language group so as to prevent the task from becoming too tiring for research participants.

As stated above, the principal aim of the present research is to investigate the workings of conceptual integration and the incongruity-resolution mechanism on the basis of humorous metaphorical press ads in English and Polish. The specific objectives to attain are as follows:

1. To study the way in which metaphorical constructs may be used as vehicles for humorousness in advertising discourse. To achieve this goal, I will first provide an overview of the theoretical frameworks concerning the phenomenon of advertising and cognitive linguistics, as well as of the existing studies on metaphor in advertising. I will also explore topics connected to humour research in order to establish that there is some compatibility between the theory of conceptual integration and the incongruity-resolution model. Then, in the analytical chapter, I will perform a qualitative content analysis of selected English and Polish press advertisements (all the transcripts of the advertising material used can be found in Appendix 1);

- 2. To check ad liking (ad ratings) and affective attitudes (emotional responses) in an attempt to capture the emotional reactions of the informants to the ads sampled. This will be accomplished by having each research participant rate a set of humorous figurative ads. Ad ratings will be determined thanks to the use of a Likert-type scale, whereas emotional responses will be measured on the basis of visual self-reports that apply the Self-Assessment Manikin (SAM) assessment technique (cf. Lang, 1980; Morris & Waine, 1993) (detailed information on research participants is included in Chapter 5, while the survey used to study ad ratings and emotional responses is available in Appendix 2);
- 3. To investigate the ways in which research participants understand and interpret the mechanisms inducing metaphor and humour in ads. To this end, I will use open-ended questionnaires and collect the informants' feedback on the ads they previously rated (see Appendix 3). The questionnaires filled in by the informants will provide post-exposure results and thus help to check whether research participants are actually aware of the mechanisms at work in the course of ad comprehension and appreciation.

Finally, more broadly, the present volume is also to advance current knowledge of effective pragmatics of humour in figurative advertising messages and to build the base for future research into advertising phenomena within linguistic frameworks. That is why this book aims to take a comparative approach and hence discuss the similarities and differences between the two corpora, one in English and the other in Polish. The analysis will show whether it is possible to identify any observable trends in the interplay between the concepts found in both metaphorical and humorous constructions in selected multimodal ads.

As far as the structure of this book is concerned, Chapter 1 deals with the phenomenon of advertising, that is, with its definition, purpose, and operation. It discusses print advertising and, therefore, centres on the verbal, visual, and multimodal facets of advertising with a view to presenting different modalities by means of which the advertising stimulus can be conveyed to the audience.

After this introductory chapter, it seems imperative to explain how the said audience manages to develop a decoded multimodal message into a relevant one. To this end, Chapter 2 touches upon the most important pragmatic theory pioneered by Sperber and Wilson (1995) known as Relevance Theory (henceforth RT) with a view to demonstrating how the expanded version of RT proposed by Forceville (2020) can accommodate various dimensions of mass-communication.

Then, the following chapter delves into the theoretical foundations of research on metaphor. Chapter 3 refers to the theory of mental spaces (Fauconnier, 1994, 1997, 1998) and then adumbrates the theory of conceptual integration (conceptual blending theory) formulated by Fauconnier and Turner (1998, 2002). The chapter explores the ways of identifying metaphors as such (cf. Pragglejaz Group, 2007; Steen, 2007), to which I refer in the subsequent operationalization of metaphor and cite existing research on the construction of verbal, visual, and multimodal metaphor in advertising.

Chapter 4 addresses the topic of humour and its numerous definitions. It cites relevant literature on the key theories of humour known as the incongruity-resolution, superiority, and relief theories (Hurley, Dennett, & Adams, 2017) and also stresses the role of the prototype theory (Rosch, 1973; Rosch & Mervis, 1975) in the shaping of humour and its strength. Following such researchers as Nerhardt (1976) and Giora (1991), I associate non-prototypicality with an increase in humour value. Furthermore, the chapter probes into the linguistic approach to humour, all forms of which are claimed to be descendants of the incongruity-resolution theory (Krikmann, 2006). The theories presented include the Script-based Semantic Theory of Humour (SSTH) (Raskin, 1985) and the General Theory of Verbal Humour (GTVH) (Attardo & Raskin, 1991). Some polemic points concerning the SSTH and GTVH, raised in large part by Brône and Feyaerts (2003, 2004) and Brône, Feyaerts, and Veale (2006), are included. Emphasis is also placed on the Graded Salience Hypothesis outlined by Giora (2003) since it emerges as a pertinent area of research in the context of this volume. Relevance-theoretic treatments of humour are presented too so as to further the understanding of how different approaches may be combined to explain humorous discourse.

Given the multimodal standpoint adopted for this book, Chapter 4 stresses the role of both the visual and the multimodal in the production of humour. Discussion on previous research on humour in advertising ensues and, finally, similarities in the conceptual operations involved in the creation and understanding of both humour and metaphor are emphasised. The observation that such common features exist is made on the basis of the works by Attardo (1994, 2006, 2015), Brône and Feyaerts (2003), Dynel (2009), Kyratzis (2003), and Müller (2015), all of whom see the theory

of conceptual integration by Fauconnier and Turner (1998, 2002) as a common denominator of metaphor and humour.

Chapter 5 clarifies the scope of the present study, shows the data collection procedure and research methodology, restates research objectives, and describes the group of research participants. Most importantly, it reports on the results of qualitative content analysis, which is supplemented with pertinent examples from the samples of humorous metaphorical ads in English and Polish. Furthermore, in line with the objectives of this research, a comparative study is conducted to present ad ratings and emotional responses for the ads from the English and Polish set. Last but not least, the chapter focuses on the reception and interpretation aspect, for it is also devoted to ad comprehension by research participants.

The final chapter presents conclusions on the workings of conceptual integration and of the incongruity-resolution mechanism, as instantiated in selected multimodal press ads in English and Polish. It is also devoted to the possible limitations of the study and to avenues for further research.

## Index of Names

Abelson 86, 164, 428	Baier 35, 429
Abid 103, 428	Bandyopadhyay 198, 199, 406
Adams 130, 131, 139–142, 144, 146,	Bansal 181, 405
148, 149, 151, 162, 163, 165, 167,	Baran 106, 111, 403
190, 193, 299, 328, 415	Barsoux 150, 158, 184, 186, 197,
Agres 25, 401	198, 403
Aharoni 125, 401	Barthes 14, 50–52, 57, 58, 323, 403
Alden 185, 188, 401	Basu 189, 197, 406
Alexander 134, 162, 200, 202, 401	Bateman 14, 21, 53, 54–56, 58, 110,
Alkabets-Zlozover 155, 413	182, 344, 403
Allan 296, 401	Bates 154, 403
Allen 196, 401	Batra 181, 405, 412, 421
Alwin 267, 401	Beard 185, 403
Andersen 300, 401	Beasley 22, 36, 45, 51, 101, 107, 403
Anderson 23, 401	Bell, E. 227, 405
Andren 24, 25, 401	Bell, N. 352, 403
Antol 181, 405	Bellantoni 47, 403
Araczewska 340, 401	Bergen 85, 177, 178, 185, 403
Arens 22, 27–29, 31, 32, 47, 404	Berger 23, 31, 105, 190, 403
Aristotle 53, 136, 402	Berlyne 146, 149, 150, 403
Aschkenazi 155, 413	Bezemer 56, 403
Attardo 14, 18, 130–136, 138, 139,	Billig 150, 403
141, 142, 144, 146, 147, 152, 159,	Binsted 177, 178, 403
160, 162, 164–175, 177–179, 190,	Black 109, 122, 123, 224, 404
200–203, 206, 207, 211–213, 218,	Blackford 149, 186, 230, 252, 404
219, 226, 230, 233, 244, 257, 262,	Blanc 188, 193, 194, 404
282, 295, 352, 402	Boerman 24, 29, 46, 188, 404
	Bornstein 190, 233, 404

Bosco 76, 404 Chambers 163, 405 Bovée 22, 27-29, 31, 32, 47, 404 Chandrasekaran 181, 405 Bowers 104, 151, 195, 404 Chang, I. Y. 189, 198, 199, 405 Bralczyk 15, 22, 26, 30, 33, 36–41, Chang, W. Y. 189, 198, 199, 405 43, 47, 48, 77, 101, 195, 330, 353, Chang, Y. Y. 198, 199, 405 404 Charteris-Black 99, 223, 406 Brannon 26, 404 Chattopadhyay 189, 197, 406 Brewer 184, 421 Chen 207, 209–211, 215, 244, 271, Brierley 37, 41, 42, 404 274, 275, 288, 339, 346, 357, 406 Brigaud 188, 193, 194, 404 Cheung 35, 406 Brock, A. 170, 404 Chiaro 162, 406 Brock, T. C. 26, 404 Childers 33, 406 Brône 14, 18, 88, 124, 163, 164, 171, Chilton 124, 406 174–179, 181, 200–202, 205, 207, Chłopicki 167, 168, 170–172, 237, 210, 211, 213, 226, 227, 317, 404 252, 406 Bruner 137, 305, 323, 343, 404 Christianson 162, 406 Bruthiaux 27, 29, 40, 405 Chung 188, 189, 199, 406 Bryant 185, 190, 233, 349, 405 Cialdini 15, 25, 26, 29, 127, 189, Bryman 227, 405 234, 406 Buijzen 134–136, 146, 149, 150, 151, Cienki 85–87, 407 180, 230, 261, 371, 385, 405 Cieślicka 350, 414 Burn 53, 58, 405 Cline 188, 189, 194, 195, 198, 407 Burnett 28, 29, 39, 44–47, 50–52, Coleman 50, 407 107, 434 Collins 164, 407 Burridge 296, 401 Colman 72, 407 Bury 149, 183, 186, 405 Colston 62, 67, 68, 76, 83, 85, 86, Busse 70, 405 114, 116–118, 125, 165, 185, 213, Byrne 189, 423 407 Cook 22, 27, 36, 37, 41, 43, 44, 47, Cacciari 416 55, 58, 82, 103, 105, 162, 407 Cooper 143, 407 Cacioppo 196, 197, 424 Cameron 99, 223, 405 Coulson 88, 91, 106, 162, 165, 168, Campbell, J. D. 162, 405 201, 202, 207, 227, 351, 407 Campbell, L. 185, 434 Crafton 162, 407 Caples 23, 25, 43, 57, 405 Craig 184, 430 Carlson 149, 404, 405 Creswell 227, 407 Carrell 166, 405 Crisp 78, 408

Critchley 149, 351, 408

Crocker 188, 325, 435

Croft 87, 177, 408

Carston 64, 65, 83, 201, 405

Chabanne 162, 402

Chakravarti 198, 418

Cruse 87, 177, 408 Dynel 18, 41, 79, 80, 82, 95, 96, 115, Curcò 159, 160, 408 125, 128, 137, 138, 139, 140–146, 150, 152, 159, 162, 168, 180–182, Dane 162, 408 185, 193, 202, 203, 205, 208–211, Danesi 22, 36, 45, 51, 77–80, 86, 94, 213, 214, 218, 225, 226, 409, 410 97, 101, 107, 222, 281, 403, 408 Davies, C. 148, 171, 172, 178, 237, Eberhard 405 238, 408 Edell 25, 401 Davies, J. 185, 190, 233, 349, 405 Eisend 189, 194, 198, 410 de Saussure 48, 408 Eisterhold 162, 402 DeCastellarnau 267, 408 El Refaie 182, 318, 410 Demerulias 162, 208 Ellerbach 29, 410 Dennett 18, 130, 131, 139–142, 144, Elsner 189, 421 146, 148, 149, 151, 162, 163, 165, Emanatian 351, 410 167, 190, 193, 219, 299, 328, 408 Ericsson 401 Depalma 162, 434 Ertel 207, 208, 281, 410 DeRosia 105, 408 Esmae'li 105, 433 Derrick 191, 408 Eubanks 222, 410 Dewitte 189, 190, 191, 238, 426 Evans, D. 25, 410 Di Maio 171, 200, 282, 402 Evans, V. 83, 84, 92, 93, 410 Díaz-Pérez 41, 103, 409 Evsenck 150, 410 Dijksterhuis 14, 430 Dillard 78, 104, 151, 195, 429 Fabrigar 267, 418 41, 408 Dirven Falkowski 26, 42, 410 Farber 293, 410 Djafarova 33, 41, 42, 254, 409 Doda-Wyszyńska 162, 409 Fauconnier 18, 19, 78, 83, Dolan 14, 220, 413 87-89, 91-94, 100, 124, 152, 163, Dorfles 133, 138, 409 179, 181, 201, 203, 207, 213, 220, Douglas 167, 409 226, 410 Dowker 350, 434 Fein 153–156, 411 Drozd 131, 409 Festinger 143, 411 Dubitsky 25, 401, 434 Feyaerts 14, 18, 163, 171, 174–179, 181, 200-202, 210, 211, 213, 226, Dubovičienė 102, 103, 429 Duffy 426 227, 317, 402, 404, 423 Dundex 162, 412 Filip 405 Durand 182, 409 Fodor 106, 145, 154, 411 Dyer 21, 24, 25, 27, 36, 40, 41, 45, Fónagy 162, 202, 203, 205, 214, 411 46, 48, 50, 57, 78, 94, 95, 103, Fontaine 192, 411 104, 107, 182, 227, 257, 331, 344, Forabosco 141, 143, 144, 180, 203, 409 211, 212, 226, 411

Forceville 18, 52, 61–76, 105–107, Granger 228, 413 109, 111, 113-117, 119, 122, 123, Gray 421 Green 83, 84, 92, 93, 410 143, 157, 162, 180, 212, 214, 224, 302, 341, 351, 353, 411 Grice 62, 159, 160, 166, 317, 409, 414 Freud 136, 149, 150, 240, 411 Friedman 228, 411 Grimm 162, 414 Fromkin 26, 412 191, 192, 279, 430 Grover Frow 70, 71, 412 Grunig 36, 39, 414 Fuentes Luque 127, 185, 186, 236, Guidi 162, 172, 414 412 Gulas 15, 127–129, 131. Fuertes-Olivera 102, 103, 124, 212, 186–188, 193, 195, 198, 236, 325, 433 351, 414, 434 Fugate 193, 412 Furió 180, 255, 412 Haiman 162, 414 Hale 282, 343, 352, 414 Gajewska 36, 41, 101, 127, 412 Halliday 55, 414 Garber 46, 47, 412 Hamrick 177, 206, 414 Gass 282, 348, 411, 413, 420 Handford 116, 412, 417 Gee 116, 412, 417 Harrison 149, 404 Geis 38, 412 Hasan 55, 414 Hashemian 209, 414 Gelb 188, 189, 198, 412 Haverkate 162, 414 Gentry 149, 404 Georges 162, 412 Hay 134, 162, 402, 414 Heath 348, 414 Gibbs 78, 85, 86, 88, 90, 94, 96, 97, 99, 100, 116, 119, 120, 162, 185, Heintz 148, 427 411, 412, 413, 416, 417, 429, 430, Hempelmann 132–134, 170, 184, 200, 282, 402, 414 432 Gineste 83, 90, 109, 413 Henderson 46, 414 Ginter 94, 413 Henly 67, 417 18, 128, 136–139, 142, 145, 152– Heredia 350, 414 156, 162, 165, 166, 169, 176–178, Herman 162, 414 207-209, 211, 212, 215, 220, 225, 162, 414 Hetzron 226, 237, 276, 281, 282, 288, 323, Heywood 78, 408 336, 345, 346, 411, 413, 424, 435 Hiippala 21, 53, 57, 58, 415 Glucksberg 162, 417 Hitchon 103, 104, 415 Goddard 23, 30, 36, 42–44, 50, 56, Hoeken 56, 111, 162, 433 101, 103, 104, 162, 413 Hoffmann, C. R. 58, 415 Goel 14, 220, 413 Hoffmann, H. 191, 231, 268, 415 Grady 83, 91–93, 124, 201, 202, Holland 14, 430 207, 413 Holmes 217, 218, 415

184.

Horn 54, 349, 415 Houghton 111, 425 Houston 33, 406 Hoyer 185, 188, 190, 401, 435 Hsieh 227, 228, 415 Huber 182, 428 Hurley 18, 130, 131, 139-142, 144, 146, 148, 149, 151, 162, 163, 165, 167, 190, 193, 219, 299, 328, 415 Hutcheon 162, 415 Hyatt 46, 47, 412 Indurkhya 83, 90, 109, 413, 415 Igbal 103, 428 Ivković 105, 415 Iwańska 195, 330, 415 **Izett** 162, 412 **Jaffe** 104, 415 Jäkel 82, 416 Janoschka 22, 35, 37, 42, 218, 416 Jaszczołt 100, 416 Jeong 52, 416 Jhally 32, 36, 419 Jiang 207, 209–211, 215, 271, 274, 275, 288, 339, 346, 406 Jodłowiec 158–160, 416 Johansen 79, 416 Johnson, M. 77, 78, 80–82, 86, 92, 96, 107, 124, 133, 245, 247, 351, 416 Johnson, R. 137, 416

Kalaga 340 Kalisz 344, 416 Karaśkiewicz 34, 53, 416 Katrandjiev 103, 416 Katz 77, 80, 90, 412, 416, 417, 426 Keir 426 Kellaris 188, 189, 194, 195, 198, 407 Kelly, L. H. 103, 417 Kelly, P. J. 184, 417 Kennedy 193, 417 Kessler 415 Keysar 67, 417, 435 Kirkorian 23, 401 Kitayama 191, 417 Kline 32, 36, 419 Koch 151, 417 Koestler 137, 138, 147, 175, 200, 417 Koller 57, 103, 111, 114, 244, 318, 417 Korenberg 51, 418 Korsmeyer 305, 417 Kotler 35, 417 Kövecses 41, 77, 78, 80–82, 88, 89, 91, 93, 94, 96, 97, 100, 101, 103, 109, 111, 222, 281, 417, 426 Kress 21, 47–51, 53–58, 108, 111, 403, 417 Kreuz 162, 417, 418 Kriegel 107, 418 Krikmann 13, 14, 18, 81, 137-139, 145-147, 149, 152, 159, 165, 167, 170, 171, 175, 177–179, 202-204, 246, 252, 264, 275, 277, 281, 295, 418 Krishnan 198, 418 Krosnick 267, 418 Kuczok 45, 405, 409, 418, 431 Kurokawa 191, 417 Kyratzis 18, 89, 167, 169, 200, 202–205, 210, 213, 226, 418 LaFave 146, 418

LaFave 146, 418 Lagerwerf 51, 105, 107, 418 Lakoff 77, 78, 80–82, 85, 87, 90, 92, 95, 100, 106, 124, 133, 247, 351, 418, 419 Lang 17, 192, 219, 231, 266, 366-Markus 191, 417 368, 419 Martin, E. 26, 420 Langacker 177, 419 Martin, R. A. 146, 167, 186, 230, Larsen 79, 416, 421 252, 408, 420, 421 Larson 107, 416 Mason 188, 189, 419 Lazarus 192, 419 McGraw 136, 146, 147, 148, 421 Lazović 37-40, 42, 419 McKendrick 184, 421 Lee, C. 185, 188, 401 McLuhan 36, 421 Lee, Y. H. 188, 189, 419 McMahon 107, 421 McQuarrie 27, 31–33, 41, 49–53, Leech 27, 28, 33, 34, 36–39, 42, 419 102, 104, 105, 109–111, 115, 125, Legman 146, 419 Leigh 42, 261, 419 195, 229, 239, 371, 238, 421, Leiss 32, 36, 419 425 Lemke 54, 419 McQuitty 189, 432 Lessard 180, 181, 419 Mehrabian 191, 268, 292, 421 Lewiński 26, 101, 419 Mehta 25, 133, 188, 421 Lewis 328, 419 Meijers 105, 107, 418 Libura 94, 201, 202, 227, 419 Melgar 189, 421 Likert 17, 191, 219, 230, 231, 266, Mellish 145, 433 298, 333, 365, 408, 420, 432, 445, Mervis 18, 72, 153, 207, 221, 226, 427 447 Lim 28, 39, 420 Messaris 46, 51, 421 Limbrecht 415 Michalik 129, 144, 146, 148, 149, Littlemore 111, 350, 420, 425 422, 431 Liu 150, 420 Mick 51, 105, 115, 298, 299, 421, Loftus 164, 407 422 Loi 28, 39, 420 Mínguez 108, 434 Lowis 192, 420 Minsky 165, 422 Lucy 47, 420 Mirzoeff 45, 241, 422 Lusińska 330, 420 Mitchell, A. G. 180, 422 Mitchell, W. J. T. 53, 56, 422 Maalej 105, 351, 420 Morgan 315, 342, 349, 422 Mac Cormac 41, 81, 95, 96, 108, Moriarty 28, 29, 39, 44–47, 50–52, 107, 434 141, 203, 212, 420 Mackey 282, 348, 411, 413, 420 Morreall 138, 141, 143–147, 149, Maćkiewicz 14, 318, 319, 420 150, 166, 208, 211, 212, 225, 422 Madden 188, 195, 196, 401, 420 Morris 17, 191, 192, 219, 231, 266, Maier 139, 415, 420 279, 298, 422, 423 Maliszewski 95, 318, 420 Moyer-Gusé 188, 423 Markiewicz 185, 193, 195, 420 Mukherjee 190, 435

Mulder 139, 141, 142, 146, 149–151, Palmer 162, 325, 424 164, 167, 209, 225, 226, 252, 346, Panofsky 48, 424 423 Parikh 405 Parker 53, 58, 405 Müller, C. 100, 423 Müller, R. 18, 202, 213, 423 Parsons 185, 188, 429, 434 Munch 33, 102, 235, 300, 314, 432 Pearsall 28, 33, 34, 56, 424 Murdoch 23, 423 Peirce 79, 300, 424, 429 Murray 53, 423 Peleg 154, 155, 424 Musolff 86, 114, 115, 214, 350–352, Pérez Hernández 111, 424 Pérez-Sobrino 15, 56, 111, 113, 114, 423 Myers 31, 36, 37, 40, 423 115, 116, 128, 277, 318, 425 Petty 196, 197, 424 Nabi 188, 423 Phillips 27, 31–33, 41, 49–53, 102, Neale 70, 423 104, 105, 108–111, 125, 195, 229, Negro Alousque 110–113, 125, 423 239, 315, 342, 371, 385, 421, 425 Nerhardt 18, 136, 207, 208, 211, Picard 191, 231, 235, 268, 425 215, 221, 225, 226, 237, 281, 346, Pickett 189, 412 423 Piddington 131, 425 Pinar Sanz 69, 140, 182, 410, 425 Nieuwouldt 192, 420 Nijholt 139, 141, 142, 146, 149–151, Pisarek 38, 425 164, 167, 209, 225, 226, 252, 346, Piskorska 116, 117, 125, 140, 149, 402, 413, 423 152, 159, 201, 202, 227, 409, 425, Nolan 84, 85, 87, 88, 423 426 Nordquist 78, 423, 424 Plumb 184, 421 Pluszczyk 129, 136, 146, 148, 149, Norrick 137, 185, 189, 424 Nthangeni 192, 422 426 Poels 189, 190, 191, 238, 426 O'Shaughnessy, J. 103, 196, 424 162, 402 Poggi O'Shaughnessy, N. J. 103, 196, 424 Pollio 200, 202–205, 214, 426 Oakley 88, 162, 201, 202, 207, 407, Postman 137, 305, 323, 343, 404 Pragglejaz Group 18, 98, 222, 426 413 Ogilvy 193, 424 Provine 130, 426 Ohlsson 401 Puhlik-Doris 421 Okopień-Sławińska 327, 424 Purvis 25, 133, 188, 421 Olson 190, 192, 424 Oring 144, 202, 205, 206, 212, 215, Quillian 164, 426 281, 408, 424 Ortony 96, 104, 202, 404, 418, 424 Radden 41, 426

Radova

103, 416

Raphaelson 193, 424

Osborn 104, 151, 195, 404

Ott 236, 424

Raskin 14, 18, 133, 138, 141, 142, Schopenhauer 136, 164, 428 144, 146, 162, 164-173, 177, 178, Schrøder 27, 36, 103, 434 Schroeder 50, 51, 105, 428 204, 208, 212, 214, 220, 226, 252, 402, 408, 426, 427 Schweizer 236, 424 Rath 190, 427 Scott 47, 51, 52, 105, 412, 421, 425, Rayner 29, 414 428, 432, 434 Rees 39, 426 Semino 85, 86, 93, 101, 114, 125, Reichert 315, 342, 349, 422 165, 213, 428, 430 Richards 78, 80, 281, 426 Shan Ahmad 103, 428 Ritchie 141, 142, 144–147, 155, 162, Shannon 227, 228, 415 Sharifian 351, 352, 428 165, 168, 170, 202, 225, 227, 346, 426, 433 Shelley 162, 428 Roberts 162, 418 Sheppard 180, 428 Rock 42, 427 Shimp 298–300, 325, 428 Rockwell 162, 427 Shultz 139, 428 Roese 190, 192, 424 Shurcliff 207, 208, 428 Rogers 188, 325, 435 Sivik 46, 47, 429 Romero 90, 94, 122, 123, 427 Skorupa 102, 103, 429 Šmid 33, 431 Rosch 18, 72, 153, 207, 210, 221, 226, 237, 427 Smit 24, 29, 46, 188, 404 Smith 188, 195, 422, 429 Rose 162, 427 Rosengren 227, 427 Solomon 184, 417 Rossolatos 56, 57, 111, 427 Solska 68, 152, 155, 159, 160, 162, Rotello 426 429 Royce 48, 53, 55, 58, 427 Sopory 78, 104, 151, 195, 429 Ruch 142, 148, 171, 178, 190, 427 Sørensen 300, 429 Ruiz-Moneva 161, 427 Soria 90, 94, 122, 123, 427 Russel 191, 268, 292, 421 Speck 186, 195, 197, 229, 429 Rutter 149, 428 Spencer 150, 429 Sperber 17, 61–63, 65, 66, 69, 71, 74, 75, 103, 106, 117, 120, 123, Samson 182, 428 Samuel Craig 430 152, 157, 158, 160, 162, 212, 220, Sautter 189, 432 227, 344, 409, 424, 429, 435 Saylor Academy 228, 428 Spiller 35, 429 Scart 83, 90, 109, 413 Spivey 163, 429 Schäffner 124, 406 Spotts 185, 188, 429, 434 Schank 86, 164, 428 Steen 18, 78, 95, 98, 99, 222–224, Scheck 415 408, 413, 430 Scherer 192, 411, 428 Stern 130, 430 Schmidt-Hidding 131–133, 428 Sternberg 80, 96, 115, 203, 432

Sternthal 184, 430 Tsakona 169, 173, 181, 183, 221, Stewart 191, 192, 279, 426, 430 241, 316, 325, 328, 344, 432 Stöckl 14, 70, 430 Tuan 103, 432 Stone 162, 430 Turner 18, 19, 78, 83, 84, 88–95, Strausbaugh 192, 422 124, 181, 201, 207, 213, 226, 407, Strey 73, 74, 434 411, 416, 417, 419, 432 Strick 14, 143, 185, 189, 194, Tyebjee 325, 433 196–198, 220, 348, 430 Stwora 26, 33, 39, 40, 45, 46, 48, Unger 198, 433 53, 54, 74, 82, 87, 89, 101, 102, Urios-Aparisi 111, 214, 264, 411, 105, 127, 132, 137, 147, 150, 164, 417, 433, 435, 436 167-169, 173, 182-184, 188-192, Urquidi 96, 433 194, 199, 221, 222, 231, 245, 251, 266, 268, 296, 326, 330, 337, 349, Vahid 105, 433 351, 353, 366–368, 405, 409, 418, Valkenburg 134–136, 146, 149–151, 430, 431 180, 230, 261, 371, 385, 405 Styles 46, 431 van Baaren 14, 430 Suls 14, 136, 138–142, 144, 167, 190, Van Enschot 56, 111, 162, 433 212, 220, 225, 226, 236, 346, 432 van Hooijdonk 51, 418 Sutherland 41, 431 van Knippenberg 14, 430 Światek 41, 94, 103, 432 van Leeuwen 25, 47–51, 53, 56, 108, Świerkot 45, 405, 409, 418, 431 111, 417, 433 Sylvester 41, 431 van Meurs 24, 29, 46, 188, 404 Van Mulken 56, 111, 162, 433 Sznicer 129, 144, 146, 148, 149, 422, 431 Veale 14, 18, 166, 174, 175, 178, 179, 213, 402, 404, 423, 433 Tafoya 162, 432 Velasco-Sacristán 102, 103, 124, Talikoti 267, 432 212, 433 Tanaka 27, 36, 41, 42, 53, 74, Velinov 103, 416 101–103, 159, 162, 194, 432 Venour 145, 433 Ventola 58, 433 Tanenhaus 405 Vestergaard 27, 36, 103, 434 Tannen 86, 432 Viana 167, 332, 434 Tännsjö 401 Taute 189, 432 Vijayakumar 405 Tavassoli 47, 432 Villafañe 108, 434 Tendahl 96, 116, 119, 120, 413, 432 Vuorela 351, 434 Toncar 33, 102, 235, 300, 314, 432 Tourangeau 80, 96, 115, 203, 432 Waine 17, 192, 219, 231, 266, 298, Traue 415 423 Trier 132, 432 Walker 25, 434

Walter 415 Wang 350, 434 Warner 136, 146–148, 421 Weaver 90, 434 Weinberger 15, 127–129, 131. 184-188, 193, 195, 198, 229, 236, 325, 351, 414, 420, 429, 434 Weiner 162, 434 Weir 421 Wells 28, 29, 39, 44–47, 50–52, 107, 434 Wharton 73, 74, 434 Wheelwright 203, 434 White 191, 408 Wieczorek 63, 66, 152, 156–160, 434 Widerski 103, 434 Wildavsky 190, 403 Williams, G. 162, 434 Williams, J. 153, 434 Williamson 35, 36, 46, 105, 107, 434 Wilson 17, 61–63, 65, 66, 69, 71, 74, 75, 83, 103, 106, 116, 117, 119-124, 154, 157, 158, 160, 162, 201, 212, 227, 344, 429, 435 Wojtaszek 22, 30, 31, 36, 40, 43, 47, 50, 56, 59, 75, 101, 111, 128, 141, 155, 176, 195, 232, 262, 352, 422, 435

Woltman Elpers 190, 435
Woolman 47, 403
Wu, B. T. W. 188, 325, 435
Wu, S. 67, 435

Xu 73, 74, 435

Yeari 153, 156, 411
Yu 105, 111, 435
Yule 43, 87, 180, 214, 317, 351, 435

Yus 64, 67, 73, 86, 105–109, 115, 116, 129, 131, 140, 142, 143–145, 150, 152, 156–162, 167, 180, 188, 189, 193–195, 198–200, 225, 309, 332, 342, 352, 427, 436

Zajonc 154, 190, 233, 349, 436

Zemełka 188, 189, 191, 192, 194, 199, 231, 266, 268, 431

Zhang 188, 196, 197, 436

Zhao 188, 189, 199, 406

Zhou 73, 74, 435

Zillmann 146, 436

Zinkhan 188, 189, 196, 197, 198, 412, 436

Zitnick 405

Żyśko 86, 436

#### Streszczenie

Celem monografii jest analiza zjawiska współwystępowania metafory i humoru w multimodalnej reklamie prasowej—w języku polskim i angielskim. Punktem wyjścia refleksji na temat współdziałania elementów metaforycznych i humorystycznych w dyskursie reklamowym jest zwrócenie uwagi na podobieństwa konceptualne w sposobach konstruowania i rozumienia zarówno humoru, jak i metafory, które autorka wiąże z teoria integracji pojęciowej.

Rozdział pierwszy koncentruje się wokół pojęcia reklamy, w szczególności zaś reklamy prasowej, a więc elementów występujących w dyskursie reklamy na poziomie tekstowym i wizualnym, by następnie ukazać, jak warstwa językowa i parajęzykowa współgrają i uzupełniają się w tworzeniu przekazu multimodalnego. Rozdział drugi przedstawia ogólny zarys teorii relewancji celem ukazania, w jaki sposób proponowana przez Forceville'a (2020) modyfikacja tejże teorii może być wykorzystana do opisu różnych aspektów komunikacji masowej. Rozdział trzeci odwołuje się do teorii przestrzeni mentalnych oraz integracji pojęciowej. Rozdział czwarty poświęcono badaniom nad humorem, uwzględniając przegląd kluczowych teorii humoru, spośród których teoria niespójności i rozwiązywania niespójności stanowi główną oś dyskusji. Omówiono także znaczenie teorii prototypów w kształtowaniu się humoru i jego sile. Rozdział zawiera również przegląd wcześniejszych badań nad humorem w reklamie oraz prezentuje zestaw cech wspólnych dla humoru i metafory.

Analityczny rozdział piąty ma na celu ukazanie działania metafory i humoru współwystępujących w multimodalnej reklamie prasowej. Podstawa poczynionych obserwacji jest korpus stu reklam prasowych w języku angielskim oraz stu w języku polskim. W celu zbadania, w jaki sposób konstrukcje metaforyczne mogą być wykorzystywane jako nośniki humoru, wybrano po dwadzieścia przykładów z każdej grupy językowej. Ich szczegółowa analiza pozwala przyjrzeć się zjawisku synergicznego działania metafory i humoru. Kolejna część rozdziału bada odczucia respondentów dotyczące atrakcyjności wybranych reklam za pomocą kwestionariuszy opartych na skali Likerta. Ponadto bada stopień ich zabawności na podstawie intuicyjnej skali obrazkowej, pozwalając ustalić walor humorystyczny i siłę perswazyjna reklamy, jak również poziom stymulacji odbiorcy. Dodatkowa ankieta, zawierająca pytania otwarte, pomaga zrozumieć, jak uczestnicy badania rozumieją mechanizmy humoru i metafory w reklamie. W części końcowej przedstawiono wyniki obu ankiet, przywołując w dyskusji odpowiednie przykłady ilustrujące omawiane zagadnienia. Rozdział szósty stanowi podsumowanie pracy i prezentuje konkluzje dotyczące analizy treści oraz wyników ankiet. Uzyskane rezultaty dają

wgląd we współdziałanie humoru i metafory w prasowej reklamie multimodalnej, a także pozwalają na zidentyfikowanie podobieństw i różnic między przykładami z każdej grupy językowej.

Monografię zamyka krótka prezentacja możliwych ograniczeń przeprowadzonego badania, która wskazuje dalsze kierunki badań w zakresie multimodalnej reklamy prasowej łączącej metaforę i humor.

### Summary

The book aims at investigating the synergistic application of metaphorical and humorous elements in Polish and English multimodal press ads. The starting point for the discussion on the co-occurrence of metaphorical and humorous elements in advertising discourse is the presence of conceptual similarities as regards both construction and understanding of humour and metaphor, seen through the lens of the theory of conceptual integration.

The first chapter focuses on the notion of advertising, especially on press ads, and, consequently, centres on the verbal and visual facets with a view to presenting how different modalities complement each other to produce multimodal messages. Chapter 2 provides an overview of Relevance Theory in order to demonstrate how its expanded version, as outlined by Forceville (2020), can accommodate various dimensions of mass-communication. In the third chapter, references are made to the theory of mental spaces and the theory of conceptual integration (conceptual blending theory). The following chapter is devoted to humour studies—it outlines the most renowned theories of humour, with the incongruity-resolution theory being the main axis of discussion. Chapter 4 also addresses the role of the prototype theory in shaping humour and its strength. Discussion on previous research into humour in advertising ensues and, finally, the similarities in the conceptual operations involved in the creation and understanding of both humour and metaphor are emphasised.

The subsequent analytical chapter aims at presenting and analysing the synergistic operation of metaphor and humour in multimodal press ads. For the purpose of the study, a corpus of one hundred press ads in English and one hundred ads in Polish was gathered. Twenty examples were selected from each language group and a qualitative content analysis was performed, which made it possible to examine the joint workings of metaphor and humour in press ads. The following part of the chapter focuses on checking ad liking and affective attitudes of the informants, accomplished by having each research participant rate a set of humorous figurative ads on a Likert-type scale. Also, the perceived funniness levels of the ads sampled were examined, which, based on an intuitive visual self-report, allowed to determine the appreciation of humour value and the persuasive power of ads, along with the levels of stimulation of the perceiver. An additional open-ended questionnaire on ad comprehension was implemented to check how the informants understood the mechanisms inducing humour and metaphor in ads. In Chapter 5, the results of both surveys are shown, referring to a wealth of pertinent examples. The final chapter presents conclusions on the qualitative content analysis, ad ratings,

emotional responses, and ad comprehension. The results provide valuable insights into the workings of metaphor and humour applied synergistically in multimodal press ads; they also allow for the identification of both similarities and differences between the two language samples.

The volume closes with a short presentation of the possible limitations of the study, suggesting avenues for further research in the field of multimodal press advertising which combines metaphor and humour in tandem.

Copy-editing Tomasz Kalaga, Gabriela Marszołek

Proofreading Tomasz Kalaga

Cover design Tomasz Kipka

Typesetting Marek Zagniński

Editorial assistant Przemysław Pieniążek

Copyright notice valid until 30.04.2024 Copyright © 2023 by Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Śląskiego. All rights reserved We support open science. As of 1.05.2024, publication available under Creative Commons license Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-SA 4.0)



The electronic version will be published in the open access formula in the Repository of the University of Silesia www.rebus.us.edu.pl

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1134-1092 Stwora, Anna Synergistic application of metaphorical and humorous elements in Polish and English advertising discourse / Anna Stwora. First impression. - Katowice: Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Śląskiego, 2023. - (Interdisciplinary Humour Research; 2)

https://doi.org/10.31261/PN.4109 ISBN 978-83-226-4170-5 (print edition) ISBN 978-83-226-4171-2 (digital edition) ISSN 2719-8235

Publisher Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Śląskiego ul. Bankowa 12B, 40-007 Katowice www.wydawnictwo.us.edu.pl e-mail:wydawnictwo@us.edu.pl Printing and binding volumina.pl Sp z o.o. Księcia Witolda 7-9 71-063 Szczecin

First impression. Printed sheets: 28.25. Publishing sheets: 29.5. Offset paper grade III, 90g. PN 4109. Price 119.90 PLN (VAT included).

The series Interdisciplinary Humour Studies presents research on humour from the perspective of various academic disciplines, with special emphasis on cognitive linguistics. Depending on the theme of the particular volume, the cognitive analysis of humour will be complemented by references to other sub-disciplines of linguistics such as humour semantics, neurolinguistics and sociolinguistics as well as other scientific fields including cognitive and developmental psychology, sociology, literature, translation studies, cultural and media studies.

The book **Synergistic Application** aims at investigating the co-presence of metaphorical and humorous elements in Polish and English multimodal press ads. Conceptual similarities as regards both the construction and understanding of humour and metaphor, seen through the lens of the theory of conceptual integration, are a starting point for discussion. The analysis of advertising material makes it possible to investigate the joint workings of the phenomena in question. Furthermore, research participants' feelings on perceived attractiveness and funniness levels of the ads sampled are examined. The results help to check how the informants understand the mechanisms that induce humour and metaphor in ads, which, in turn, allows for the identification of both similarities and differences between the two language samples.

